

REMARKS

The Office action dated March 21, 2006, and the references cited therein have been received and carefully reviewed.

As a result of the Office action, claims 1, 2, 4, and 5 are allowed. However, claim 6 has been rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kaltenmark. Moreover, claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kaltenmark in view of Liberatoscioli.

By the above amendment, claims 6 and 8 have been canceled without prejudice or disclaimer, thereby rendering the prior art rejections moot.

Each issue raised in the Office action dated March 21, 2006, has been addressed and it is believed that claims 1, 2, 4, and 5 are in condition for allowance. Wherefore, Applicant respectfully requests that a timely Notice of Allowance be issued in this case.

Respectfully submitted,
DENNISON, SCHULTZ &
MACDONALD

By: 

Amir H. Behnia
Reg. No. 50,215
(703) 837-9600 Ext. 16

Date: 6/21/06